## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of H.C., Minor. FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY, UNPUBLISHED November 22, 2002 Petitioner-Appellee, No. 239956 v St. Clair Circuit Court Family Division RICHARD CAIGER, LC No. 00-000671-NA Respondent-Appellant, and CHRISTINA CAIGER, Respondent. In the Matter of H.C., Minor. FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY, Petitioner-Appellee, No. 240086 v St. Clair Circuit Court Family Division CHRISTINA CAIGER, LC No. 00-00671-NA Respondent-Appellant, and RICHARD CAIGER, Respondent.

Before: Markey, P.J., and Saad and Smolenski, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated appeals, respondents-appellants appeal by right from the trial court's termination of their parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(b)(i), (c)(i) and (ii), (g), and (j). This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E)(1)(b). We affirm.

The trial court did not clearly err in determining that §§19b(3)(b)(i), (c)(i) and (ii), (g), and (j) were established by clear and convincing evidence and supported termination of respondent-father's parental rights, and in determining that §§19b(3)(c)(i) and (ii), (g), and (j) were established by clear and convincing evidence and supported termination of respondent-mother's parental rights. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989).

Additionally, the evidence did not show that termination of respondents-appellants' parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondents-appellants' parental rights to the child.

We affirm.

/s/ Jane E. Markey /s/ Henry William Saad /s/ Michael R. Smolenski